

6051 Wescott Road Columbia, SC 29212

Grades 6-8 Middle School

Enrollment 896 Students

PrincipalMarie Waldrop803-476-3600SuperintendentDr. Herbert Berg803-476-8116

Board Chair Robert Gantt 803-781-5408

2010 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2010	Excellent	Good
2009	Good	Average
2008	Average	At-Risk
2007	Average	At-Risk
2006	Average	At-Risk

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov IRMO MIDDLE 03/09/11-3205040


Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating

Percent of students tested in 2009-10 whose 2008-09 test scores were located

ABSOLUTE RATINGS OF MIDDLE SCHOOLS WITH STUDENTS LIKE OURS*						
Excellent Good Average Below Average At-Risk						
6	14	16	0	1		

95.9%

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 03/09/2011.



^{*} Middle schools with Students Like Ours are middle schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of	Critical Terms
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.

IRMO MIDDLE 03/09/11-3205040

End of Course Tests

Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our Middle School	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	100.0%	98.6%
English 1	N/A	97.0%
Physical Science	N/A	27.4%
US History and the Constitution	N/A	N/A
All Subjects	100.0%	96.8%

School Profile				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n=896)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	65.6%	Up from 64.6%	26.1%	24.2%
Retention rate	0.8%	Down from 1.2%	0.5%	0.7%
Attendance rate	96.4%	Up from 96.2%	96.1%	95.9%
Eligible for gifted and talented	35.9%	Up from 28.8%	22.6%	16.4%
With disabilities other than speech	14.4%	Up from 13.2%	11.1%	12.0%
Older than usual for grade	1.1%	Down from 1.7%	1.2%	2.2%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	7.4%	Up from 4.6%	0.4%	0.5%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No Change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=74)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	81.1%	Up from 79.7%	60.0%	58.5%
Continuing contract teachers	94.6%	Up from 87.3%	82.4%	80.0%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	6.9%	Down from 9.3%	3.0%	4.0%
Teachers returning from previous year	88.0%	Up from 85.2%	86.9%	84.6%
Teacher attendance rate	95.7%	Up from 94.5%	95.5%	95.4%
Average teacher salary*	\$50,613	Up 1.8%	\$47,050	\$46,561
Professional development days/teacher	11.3 days	Down from 11.4 days	10.2 days	10.2 days
School				
Principal's years at school	11.0	Up from 10.0	4.0	4.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	22.1 to 1	Up from 18.5 to 1	21.8 to 1	21.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.2%	Up from 89.8%	90.9%	90.4%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	Yes	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	100.0%	Up from 99.9%	96.9%	98.1%
Character development program	Excellent	No Change	Good	Good
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$9,903	Up 14.6%	\$7,202	\$7,802
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	61.6%	Down from 62.7%	64.2%	63.8%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	60.7%	Down from 61.2%	60.9%	60.0%

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

IRMO MIDDLE 03/09/11-3205040

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Irmo Middle School (IMS), a recognized leader in educational excellence, is committed to ensuring the development of the unique potential of each student as a lifelong learner through a comprehensive and challenging education program that embraces the best of traditional and innovative practices within a caring, supportive, diverse, and safe community of learners. In addition, our students experience a strong fine arts curriculum, both in specialized classes and through arts infusion. Other IMS accomplishments for the 2009-2010 school year include the following:

- -Ms. Kim Cheeseboro was the 2009-2010 Irmo Middle School Teacher of the Year.
- -Ms. Alison Redick was the 2009-2010 Irmo Middle School Support Employee of the Year.
- -The following teachers received National Board Certification: Ms. Meredith Baker, Mr. Barry Lee, Ms. Liz Mantsch, and Mr. Scott Thur.
- -Ms. Susan Bloodworth was named as the Columbia Area Reading Council Teacher of the Year.
- -Horace Mann (David Stanley) was named as the District Five Middle School Business Partner of the Year.
- -Irmo Middle School continued to receive funding from the 21st Century Grant to fund an after school program.
- -Irmo Middle School won an award from Kroger for their presentation on Making Healthy Choices.
- -Irmo Middle School was recognized by the SC Chapter of the National School Public Relations Association for the Faculty Handbook.
- -The Irmo Middle School Chorus received a Superior rating at the SC Middle School ----Choral Festival and received a Superior rating at the Carowinds Choral Festival.
- -The Irmo Middle School Orchestra had students receive Excellent and Superior ratings at the SC Music Educators Association's Solo and Ensemble Festival.
- -The Irmo Middle School Honor Band won first place at the Heritage Music Festival,
- -An eighth-grade art student at won the 16th annual SC Jr. Duck Stamp Contest.
- -A student won first place in photography at the State Beta Club Convention.

Paul DuPre, SIC Chair and Marie Waldrop, Principal would like to thank the students, parents, teachers, counselors, and support staff of IMS for their dedication!

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	72	401	165					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	91.7%	72.4%	87.0%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	97.2%	75.1%	79.9%					
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	93.0%	82.3%	81.7%					

^{*} Only students at the highest middle school grade level and their parents were included.

IRMO MIDDLE 03/09/11-3205040

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress YES

This school met 21 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	2.4%	1.9%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	N/A	5.6%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	2.4%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	96.4%	94.0%*	Yes

^{*} Or greater than last year

II WIIO WIIDDEE								00/	00/11 01	200010
PASS Performance By	y Group									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary*	District % Met or Exemplary*	State % Met or Exemplary*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Langu	uage Art	ts - Stat	e Perfor	mance	Objectiv	e = 58.	8% (Me	t or Exe	mplary)	
All Students	885	99.9	23.8	28.7	47.5	85.2	90.5	83.5	Yes	Yes
Gender										
Male	438	99.8	30.1	26.2	43.6	79.7	87.7	80.1	N/A	N/A
Female	447	100	17.6	31.1	51.3	90.5	93.5	87	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	438	99.8	14.7	25.1	60.3	93.1	94.8	89.6	Yes	Yes
African American	388	100	34.2	33.9	31.9	76.2	80.7	74.6	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	23	100	19	14.3	66.7	85.7	94.2	92.7	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	24	100	31.3	31.3	37.5	81.3	88.3	79.6	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	85.1	I/S	I/S
Disability Status										
Disabled	126	99.2	51.3	23.9	24.8	60.7	66.1	51.7	Yes	Yes
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	69.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	24	100	41.2	23.5	35.3	76.5	89.2	79	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	400	99.8	37.3	31.2	31.5	75.7	81.4	76.9	Yes	Yes
Mathema	atics - S	tate Per	forman	ce Obie	ctive = 5	57.8% (Met or E	xempla	rv)	
All Students	885	99.9	22.2	37	40.8	86.6	90.4	80.4	Yes	Yes
Gender										
Male	438	99.8	24.8	33.3	41.9	84.6	88.9	78.4	N/A	N/A
Female	447	100	19.7	40.6	39.7	88.6	91.9	82.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	438	99.8	12.1	34	53.9	92.9	94.8	87.8	Yes	Yes
African American	388	100	33.9	41.5	24.6	79.3	80.1	69.3	Yes	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	23	100	14.3	19	66.7	85.7	93.7	93.5	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	24	100	37.5	37.5	25	87.5	87.6	78.3	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	83.2	I/S	I/S
Disability Status										
Disabled	126	99.2	54.7	29.9	15.4	59	65	46.1	Yes	Yes
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	71.4	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	24	100	35.3	29.4	35.3	82.4	88	78.9	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	400	99.8	33.4	39	27.6	77.3	79.4	72.8	Yes	Yes

^{*} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

IRMO MIDDLE 03	3/09/11-3205040
----------------	-----------------

II (IVIO IVIIDDEE							00/00/11	0200010
PASS Performance By	y Group							
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary
			Scien	ice				
All Students	668	99.9	18.2	40.4	41.4	81.8	81.1	67.3
Gender								
Male	332	100	21.4	34.3	44.3	78.6	80.2	66.9
Female	336	99.7	15	46.4	38.6	85	82	67.7
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	331	100	9	37.2	53.9	91	88.8	79.6
African American	291	99.7	29.4	45.7	24.9	70.6	63.8	49.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	18	100	5.9	17.6	76.5	94.1	85.5	84.4
Hispanic	18	100	30.8	46.2	23.1	69.2	68.3	59.4
American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	69.5
Disability Status								
Disabled	92	100	45.5	33	21.6	54.5	52.9	33.8
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	36.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	17	100	23.1	38.5	38.5	76.9	71.9	58.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	307	99.7	31.3	40.3	28.4	68.7	63.8	55.4
	!		Social S	tudies			!	-
All Students	661	99.9	21.4	34.5	44.2	78.6	84.4	70.9
Gender	001	33.3	21.4	34.3	44.2	70.0	04.4	10.9
Male	324	99.7	24.9	29.2	45.8	75.1	83.4	70.1
Female	337	100	18	39.4	42.6	82	85.4	71.7
Racial/Ethnic Group	331	100	10	33.4	42.0	02	00.4	71.7
White	314	100	14.9	31.1	54	85.1	89.2	79.2
African American	302	100	28.6	38.6	32.9	71.4	73.2	58.4
Asian/Pacific Islander	16	100	7.1	28.6	64.3	92.9	88.5	86.8
Hispanic	19	100	23.1	30.8	46.2	76.9	80.2	68
American Indian/Alaskan	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	71.2
Disability Status								
Disabled	95	100	49.4	28.7	21.8	50.6	58.9	39.3
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	55
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	19	100	20	46.7	33.3	80	81.6	68
Socio-Economic Status					- 3.0			
Subsidized meals	306	100	32.2	37	30.8	67.8	71.7	60.8
Cabolaizoa illouio	1 000	1 100	02.2	1 0,	1 00.0	07.0	1 ''''	1 00.0

IRMO MIDDLE	03/09/11-3205040
-------------	------------------

PASS Performance By Group										
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary	School Attendance Rate	District Attendance Rate
				Writing						
All Students	891	96.1	23.1	38.1	38.8	76.9	81	72.1	96.4	98.8
Gender										
Male	445	94.2	30.9	38.7	30.4	69.1	75.2	65.2	96.3	98.7
Female	446	98	15.6	37.5	46.9	84.4	86.9	79.2	96.4	98.8
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	439	96.4	14.8	35.3	49.9	85.2	87.5	80.8	96.3	98.8
African American	395	95.4	33	42.5	24.5	67	66	59.7	96.4	98.7
Asian/Pacific Islander	22	95.5	10	20	70	90	88.8	87	97.4	99.3
Hispanic	23	100	23.5	52.9	23.5	76.5	74.5	64.6	96.9	99
American Indian/Alaskan	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	73.4	97.2	99
Disability Status										
Disabled	125	73.6	80.5	17.2	2.3	19.5	39.4	27.7	95.6	98.7
Migrant Status										
Migrant	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	63.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	22	100	22.2	55.6	22.2	77.8	75.6	63.7	96.8	99.2
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	399	95.7	36.5	41.4	22.1	63.5	64.4	61.9	95.8	98.5

IRMO MIDDLE 03/09/11-3205040

PASS Performance By Grade Level								
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary	
			Englisl	h/Language A	irts			
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
0	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
ő		N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2009	5 6	12	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	100	
	7	435	100	22	40.3	37.7	78	
	8	464	99.8	25.5	32.9	41.6	74.5	
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Ξ	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2010	5 6	14	100	N/A	N/A	N/A	78.6	
	7	446	100	19.7	29.3	51	80.3	
	8	425	99.8	28.1	29.1	42.9	71.9	
			M	lathematics				
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2009	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2	6	12	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	100	
	7	435	100	18.6	40.3	41.1	81.4	
	8	464	99.8	28	33.6	38.4	72	
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
\equiv	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2010	6 7	14	100	28.6	21.4	50	71.4	
	7	446	100	19	36.5	44.5	81	
	8	425	99.8	25.3	38.1	36.6	74.7	
				Science				
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Ö	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2009	6	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
	7	430	100	21.9	49.6	28.5	78.1	
	8	232	100	29.6	32.4	38	70.4	
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2010	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
2	6	10	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	
	7	444	100	16.6	47	36.4	83.4	
	8	214	99.5	21.2	28.6	50.2	78.8	

IRMO MIDDLE 03/09/11-3205040

PASS Performance By Grade Level										
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary			
Social Studies										
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2009	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
5 (6	7	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S			
	7	429	100	23.4	35	41.6	76.6			
	8	231	100	21.8	37.3	40.9	78.2			
	3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
0	4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2010	5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2	6	6	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S			
	7	445	99.8	22.2	32.5	45.3	77.8			
	8	210	100	19.8	38.6	41.6	80.2			
				Writing						
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
6	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2009	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
7	6	12	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	7	436	95.6	27	41.6	31.5	73			
	8	459	99.8	25.1	39.2	35.8	74.9			
	3	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
0	4	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2010	5	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2(6	14	7.1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S			
	7	447	95.8	21.3	38.9	39.9	78.7			
	8	430	99.3	24.6	37.4	37.9	75.4			